

THE MODULI SPACE OF GENERALIZED MORSE FUNCTIONS

BORIS BOTVINNIK AND IB MADSEN

ABSTRACT. We study the moduli and determine a homotopy type of the space of all generalized Morse functions on d -manifolds for given d . This moduli space is closely connected to the moduli space of all Morse functions studied in [11] and the classifying space of the corresponding cobordism category.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth compact manifold M^d and a fixed smooth function $\varphi : M^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, let $\mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi)$ denote the space of generalized Morse functions $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ which agrees with φ in a neighbourhood of the boundary ∂M . This space (in the Whitney topology) satisfies an h -principle in the sense of Gromov, [5]. Here precisely we define $h\mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi)$ to be the space of sections of the bundle $J_{\text{gmf}}^3(M)$ of generalized Morse 3-jets that agrees with $j^3\varphi$ near ∂M . Taking the 3-jet of a generalized Morse function defines a map

$$(1) \quad j^3 : \mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi) \longrightarrow h\mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi) .$$

This map was first considered by Igusa in [6]. He proved that the map j^3 in (1) is d -connected and in [7] he calculated the “ d -homotopy type” of $h\mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi)$ by exhibiting a d -connected map

$$(2) \quad h\mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi) \longrightarrow \Omega^\infty S^\infty(BO_+ \wedge M^d),$$

thus determining the d -homotopy type of the space $\mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi)$.

Eliashberg and Mishachev [2, 3] and Vassiliev [13] showed that the map in (1) is actually a homotopy equivalence rather than just being d -connected. This is the starting point for this paper.

We study the moduli space of all generalized Morse functions on d -manifolds, i.e. the space of $\mathcal{G}(M^d, \varphi)$ as (M^d, φ) varies. There can be several candidates for such a moduli space. The one we present below is closely connected to the “moduli space” of all Morse functions considered in Section 4 of [11]. Indeed, the present note can be viewed as an addition to [11].

In Section 2 below we give the precise definition of our moduli space, and in Section 3 we determine its homotopy type, following the argument from [11].

Boris Botvinnik is partially supported by SFB-748, Münster, Germany.

Ib Madsen is partially supported by ERC advanced grant 228082-TMSS.

2. DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

2.1. The moduli space. Let $J^3(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the space of 3-jets of smooth functions on \mathbf{R}^d ,

$$p(x) = c + \ell(x) + q(x) + r(x) ,$$

where c is a constant, $\ell(x)$ is linear, $q(x)$ quadratic and $r(x)$ cubic,

$$\ell(x) = \sum_i a_i x_i , \quad q(x) = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} x_i x_j , \quad r(x) = \sum_{ijk} a_{ijk} x_i x_j x_k ,$$

with the coefficients a_{ij} , a_{ijk} symmetric in the indices.

Let $J_{\text{gmf}}^3(\mathbf{R}^d) \subset J^3(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be the subspace of $p \in J^3(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that one the following holds:

- (i) $0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is not a critical point of p ($\ell \neq 0$);
- (ii) $0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is a non-degenerate critical point of p ($\ell = 0$ and q is non-degenerate);
- (iii) $0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is a birth-death singularity of p ($q : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R})$ has 1-dimensional kernel on which $r(x)$ is non-trivial).

The space $J_{\text{gmf}}^3(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is invariant under the $O(d)$ -action on the space $J^3(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Given a smooth manifold M^d with a metric, let $\mathcal{P}(M^d) \rightarrow M$ be the principal $O(d)$ -bundle of orthogonal frames in the tangent bundle TM^d . Then

$$J_{\text{gmf}}^3(TM^d) = \mathcal{P}(M^d) \times_{O(d)} J_{\text{gmf}}^3(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

is a smooth fiber bundle on M , a subbundle of

$$J^3(TM^d) = \mathcal{P}(M^d) \times_{O(d)} J^3(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Remark 2.1. Up to change of coordinates, a birth-death singularity is of the form

$$p(x) = x_1^3 - \sum_{j=2}^{i+1} x_j^2 + \sum_{k=i+2}^d x_k^2 .$$

The integer i is the Morse index of the quadratic form $q(x)$. In general, a quadratic form $q : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ induces a canonical decomposition

$$\mathbf{R}^d = V_-(q) \oplus V_0(q) \oplus V_+(q)$$

into negative eigenspace, the zero eigenspace and the positive eigenspace. In the case of generalized Morse jets, $\dim V_0(q)$ is either 0 or 1, and in the latter case the cubic term $r(x)$ restricts non-trivially to $V_0(q)$. The dimension of $V_-(q)$ is the index of the gmf-jet. \diamond

For a smooth manifold M^d , we have the 3-jet bundle $J^3(M, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M$ whose fiber $J^3(M, \mathbf{R})_x$ is the germ of 3-jets $(M, x) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, and the associated subbundle $J_{\text{gmf}}^3(M, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M$. A choice of exponential function induces a fiber bundle isomorphism

$$(3) \quad J_{\text{gmf}}^3(M, \mathbf{R}) \cong J_{\text{gmf}}^3(TM),$$

and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a generalized Morse function precisely if $j^3(f) \in \Gamma(J_{\text{gmf}}^3(M, \mathbf{R}))$, where we use $\Gamma(E)$ to denote the space of smooth sections of a vector bundle E .

Definition 2.1. Let X be a k -dimensional manifold without boundary. Let $\mathcal{J}_d(X)$ be the set of 4-tuples (E, π, f, j) of a $(k+d)$ -manifold E with maps

$$(\pi, f, j) : E \longrightarrow X \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty}$$

subject to the conditions

- (i) $(\pi, f) : E \longrightarrow X \times \mathbf{R}$ is a proper map;
- (ii) $(f, j) : E \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty}$ is an embedding;
- (iii) $\pi : E \longrightarrow X$ is a submersion;
- (iv) for any $x \in X$, the restriction $f_x = f|_{E_x} : E_x \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ to each fiber $E_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ is a generalized Morse function.

In (ii) above $\mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty}$ is the union or colimit of \mathbf{R}^{d-1+N} as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and (ii) means that (f, j) embeds E into $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+N}$ for sufficiently large N . The definition above is the obvious analogue of Definition 2.7 of [11].

A smooth map $\phi : Y \longrightarrow X$ induces a pull-back

$$\phi^* : \mathcal{J}_d(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_d(Y), \quad \phi^* : (E, \pi, f, j) \mapsto (\phi^*E, \phi^*\pi, \phi^*f, \phi^*j) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\phi^*E = \{ (y, z) \in Y \times \mathbf{R}^{d+\infty} \mid (\phi(y), z) \in E \subset X \times \mathbf{R}^{d+\infty} \}$$

and the maps $\phi^*\pi$, ϕ^*f and ϕ^*j are given by corresponding projections from

$$\phi^*E \subset Y \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty}$$

on the factors Y , \mathbf{R} and $\mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty}$, respectively. In particular, we have $(\psi \circ \phi)^* = \phi^* \circ \psi^*$ (rather than just being naturally equivalent), so the correspondence $X \mapsto \mathcal{J}_d(X)$ is a set-valued sheaf \mathcal{J}_d on the category \mathfrak{X} of smooth manifolds and smooth maps.

A set-valued sheaf on \mathfrak{X} gives rise to a simplicial set $N_\bullet \mathcal{J}_d$ with

$$N_k \mathcal{J}_d = \mathcal{J}_d(\Delta_e^k), \quad \Delta_e^k = \{ (x_0, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{R}^{k+1} \mid \sum x_i = 1 \}.$$

The geometric realization of $N_\bullet \mathcal{J}_d$ will be denoted by $|\mathcal{J}_d|$, and we make the following definition.

Definition 2.2. The moduli space of generalized Morse functions of d variables is the loop space $\Omega|\mathcal{J}_d|$.

Remark 2.2. If in Definition 2.1 we drop the assumption that $f : E \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a generalized Morse function, then \mathcal{J}_d reduces to the sheaf $D_d = D_d(-, \infty)$ of [4, Definition 3.3] associated to the space of embedded d -manifolds, and $\Omega|D_d| \cong \Omega^\infty MT(d)$ by Theorem 3.4 of [4]. \diamond

Associated with the set valued sheaf $\mathcal{J}_d(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}$, we have a sheaf $\mathcal{J}_d^A(X)$ of partially ordered sets, i.e. a category valued sheaf, cf. [11, Section 4.2]. For connected X , an object of $\mathcal{J}_d^A(X)$ consists of an element $(E, \pi, f, j) \in \mathcal{J}_d(X)$ together with an interval $A = [a_0, a_1] \subset \mathbf{R}$ subject to the condition that $f : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be fiberwise transverse to ∂A (i.e. $\{a_0, a_1\}$ are regular values for each $f_x : E_x \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $x \in X$). The partial ordering is given by

$$(E, \pi, f, j; A) \leq (E', \pi', f', j'; A') \text{ if}$$

$$(E, \pi, f, j) = (E', \pi', f', j') \text{ and } A \subset A'.$$

If X is not connected, $\mathcal{J}_d^A(X)$ is the product of $\mathcal{J}_d^A(X_j)$ over the connected components X_j .

We notice that each element $(E, \pi, f, j; A)$ restricts to a family of generalized Morse functions on a compact manifolds

$$(\pi, f, j) : f^{-1}(A) \hookrightarrow X \times [a_0, a_1] \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty},$$

where $A = [a_0, a_1]$. On the other hand, given such a family

$$(\pi, f, j) : E(A) \hookrightarrow X \times [a_0, a_1] \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty},$$

we can extend it to an element $(\hat{E}(A), \hat{\pi}, \hat{f}, \hat{j})$ by adding long collars:

$$\hat{E}(A) = (-\infty, a_0] \times f^{-1}(a_0) \cup E(A) \cup [a_1, \infty) \times f^{-1}(a_1).$$

If $(E(A)\pi, f, j)$ is a restriction of $(E, \pi, f, j) \in \mathcal{J}_d(X)$, then $(\hat{E}(A), \hat{\pi}, \hat{f}, \hat{j}; A)$ is concordant to (E, π, f, j) by [11, Lemma 2.19].

The forgetful map $\mathcal{J}_d^A(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_d(X)$ is a map of category valued sheaves when we give $\mathcal{J}_d(X)$ the trivial category structure (with only identity morphisms). It induces a map

$$|\mathcal{J}_d^A| \rightarrow |\mathcal{J}_d|$$

of topological categories, and hence a map of their classifying spaces:

$$B|\mathcal{J}_d^A| \rightarrow B|\mathcal{J}_d| = |\mathcal{J}_d|,$$

where $B|\mathcal{J}| = |N_\bullet \mathcal{J}|$.

Theorem 2.1. *The map $B|\mathcal{J}_d^A| \rightarrow |\mathcal{J}_d|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. This follows from [11, Theorem 4.2], which identifies $B|\mathcal{J}_d^A|$ with $|\beta\mathcal{J}_d^A|$, where $\beta\mathcal{J}_d^A$ is a set-valued sheaf of [11, Definition 4.1], together with the analogue of [11, Proposition 4.10]: the map

$$|\beta\mathcal{J}_d^A| \rightarrow |\mathcal{J}_d^A|$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Indeed, the proof of Proposition 4.10, which treats the case where $f : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a fiberwise Morse function (in a neighbourhood of $f^{-1}(0)$) carries over word by word to the situation of generalized Morse functions. \square

2.2. The h -principle. For a submersion $\pi : E \longrightarrow X$, $T^\pi E$ denotes the tangent bundle along the fibers. We can form the bundle

$$J_{\text{gmf}}^3(T^\pi E) \longrightarrow E$$

of gmf-jets. Sections of this bundle will be denoted by \hat{f}, \hat{g}, \dots etc. For given $z \in E$, the restriction $\hat{f}(z) : T(E_{\pi(z)}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a gmf-jet; its constant term will be denoted $f(z)$.

Definition 2.3. For a smooth manifold X , let $h\mathcal{J}_d(X)$ consists of maps

$$(\pi, f, j) : E \longrightarrow X \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty}$$

satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii) of Definition 2.1 together with a jet $\hat{f} \in \Gamma(J_{\text{gmf}}^3(T^\pi E))$ having constant term f . \square

A metric on $T^\pi E$ and an associated exponential map induces an isomorphism

$$(4) \quad J_\pi^3(E, \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} J^3(T^\pi E)$$

that sends gmf-jets to gmf-jets. Here $J_\pi^3(E, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow E$ is the fiberwise 3-jet bundle. Differentiation in the fiber direction only, defines a map

$$j_\pi^3 : C^\infty(E, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow J_\pi^3(E, \mathbf{R})$$

which sends fiberwise generalized Morse functions into gmf-jets. This induces a map of sheaves

$$j_\pi^3 : \mathcal{J}_d(X) \longrightarrow h\mathcal{J}_d(X),$$

and hence a map of their nerves

$$j_\pi^3 : |\mathcal{J}_d| \longrightarrow |h\mathcal{J}_d|.$$

Using the sheaves \mathcal{J}_d^A and the associated $h\mathcal{J}_d^A$, together with the h -principle of [3, 13], the argument of [11, Proposition 4.17] proves that the induced map

$$|\beta\mathcal{J}_d^A| \longrightarrow |\beta h\mathcal{J}_d^A|$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Finally, since the forgetful maps

$$|\beta\mathcal{J}_d^A| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{J}_d^A|, \quad |\beta h\mathcal{J}_d^A| \longrightarrow |h\mathcal{J}_d^A|$$

are weak homotopy equivalences by [11, Proposition 4.10], we get

Theorem 2.2. *The map $j_\pi^3 : |\mathcal{J}_d| \longrightarrow |h\mathcal{J}_d|$ is weak homotopy equivalence.* \square

3. HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE MODULI SPACE

3.1. The space $|h\mathcal{J}_d|$. For any set-valued sheaf $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$, let $\mathcal{F}[X]$ denote the set of concordance classes: $s_0, s_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ are *concordant* ($s_0 \sim s_1$) if there exists an element $s \in \mathcal{F}(X \times \mathbf{R})$ such that $pr_X^*(s_0)$, $pr_X : X \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$, agrees with s on an open neighborhood of $X \times (-\infty, 0]$ and $pr_X^*(s_1)$ agrees with s on an open neighborhood of $X \times [1, \infty)$. The relation to the space $|\mathcal{F}|$ is given by

$$(5) \quad [X, |\mathcal{F}|] \cong \mathcal{F}[X].$$

Let $G(d, n)$ denote the Grassmannian of d -planes in \mathbf{R}^{d+n} , and $G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ the space of pairs (V, f) with $V \in G(d, n)$ and $f : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a generalized Morse function with $f(0) = 0$. The space

$$U_{d,n}^\perp = \{ (v, V) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+n} \times G(d, n) \mid v \perp V \}$$

is an n -dimensional vector bundle on $G(d, n)$. Let $V_{d,n}^\perp$ be its pull-back along the forgetful map $G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \rightarrow G(d, n)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{d,n}^\perp & \longrightarrow & U_{d,n}^\perp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) & \longrightarrow & G(d, n) \end{array}$$

Similarly, we have canonical d -dimensional vector bundles $U_{d,n}$ and $V_{d,n}$ on $G(d, n)$ and $G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ respectively. The Thom spaces of the bundles $U_{d,n}^\perp$ and $V_{d,n}^\perp$ give rise to spectra $MT(d)$ and $MT^{\text{gmf}}(d)$ which in degrees $(d+n)$ are

$$(6) \quad MT(d)_{d+n} = \mathbf{Th}(U_{d,n}^\perp), \quad MT^{\text{gmf}}(d)_{d+n} = \mathbf{Th}(V_{d,n}^\perp).$$

The infinite loop space of the spectrum $MT^{\text{gmf}}(d)$ is defined to be

$$(7) \quad \Omega^\infty MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) = \operatorname{colim}_n \Omega^{d+n} \mathbf{Th}(V_{d,n}^\perp)$$

Theorem 3.1. *There is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$(8) \quad \Omega|h\mathcal{J}_d| \simeq \Omega^\infty MT^{\text{gmf}}(d).$$

Proof. This is completely similar to the proof of [11, Theorem 3.5] for the case of Morse functions using only transversality and the submersion theorem, [12]. \square

We have left to examine the right-hand side of the equivalence (8). The results are similar in spirit to ones in [11, Section 3.1].

Let $\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \subset G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ be the singular set of pairs (V, f) with $f : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ having vanishing linear term, i.e. $Df(0) = 0$. Consider the nonsingular set $G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ given as set of pairs $(V, f) \in G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ with $f = \ell + q + r$ and $\ell \neq 0$. We notice that the space $G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ retracts to the space

$$\hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) = \{ (V, f) \in G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \mid f = \ell + q + r, \text{ with } |\ell| = 1, q = 0, r = 0 \},$$

where $|\ell|$ is a norm of the linear part $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Lemma 3.2. *There is a homeomorphism*

$$\hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \cong O(d + n) / (O(d - 1) \times O(n)) .$$

Proof. For a pair $(V, f) \in \hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ we have $V \in G(d, n)$ and $f = \ell$ with $|\ell| = 1$. We may think of ℓ as a linear projection on the first coordinate, which is the same as to say that the space V contains a subspace

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{R} \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbf{R}^n$$

with ℓ being a projection on it. This identifies $\hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ with the homogeneous space $O(d + n) / (O(d - 1) \times O(n))$. \square

Since $G(d - 1, n) = O(d - 1 + n) / (O(d - 1) \times O(n))$, we observe that the map

$$i_{d,n} : G(d - 1, n) \rightarrow \hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$$

is $(d + n - 2)$ -connected and that $i_{d,n}^*(V_{d,n}^\perp|_{\hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d,n)}) \cong U_{d-1,n}^\perp$.

On the other hand, $\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \subset G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ has normal bundle $V_{d,n}^* \cong V_{d,n}$ and the inclusion

$$(D(V_{d,n}), S(V_{d,n})) \rightarrow (G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n), G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n))$$

is an excision map. This leads to the cofibration

$$(10) \quad \text{Th}(j^*V_{d,n}^\perp) \rightarrow \text{Th}(V_{d,n}^\perp) \rightarrow \text{Th}((V_{d,n}^\perp \oplus V_{d,n})|_{\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d,n)}),$$

where j is the inclusion

$$j : G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \rightarrow G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) .$$

By the above, there is $(2n + d - 2)$ -connected map

$$i_{d,n} : \text{Th}(U_{d-1,n}^\perp) \rightarrow \text{Th}(V_{d,n}^\perp).$$

With the notation of (6), we get from (10) a cofibration of spectra

$$(11) \quad \Sigma^{-1}MT(d - 1) \rightarrow MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+)$$

and the corresponding homotopy fibration sequence of infinite loop spaces

$$\Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1}MT(d - 1) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+)$$

Remark 3.1. The main theorem of [4] asserts a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega^\infty MT(d) \simeq \Omega B\mathcal{Cob}_d,$$

where \mathcal{Cob}_d is the cobordism category of embedded manifolds: the objects are (M^{d-1}, a) of a closed $(d - 1)$ -submanifold of $\{a\} \times \mathbf{R}^{\infty+d-1}$ and the morphisms are embedded cobordisms $W^d \subset [a_0, a_1] \times \mathbf{R}^{\infty+d-1}$ transversal at $\{a_i\} \times \mathbf{R}^{\infty+d-1}$. In particular, we have weak homotopy equivalence

$$(12) \quad \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1}MT(d - 1) \simeq \Omega^2 B\mathcal{Cob}_{d-1}.$$

3.2. The singularity space. In [7], Igusa analyzed the singularity space $\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d) \subset J_{\text{gmf}}^3(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by decomposing it with respect to the Morse index. The result, stated in [7, Proposition 3.4], is as follows. Consider the homogeneous spaces

$$X^1(i) = O(d) / O(i) \times O(1) \times O(d-i-1),$$

$$X(i) = O(d) / O(i) \times O(d-i).$$

and note that there are quotient maps

$$f_i : X^1(i) \rightarrow X(i), \quad g_i : X^1(i) \rightarrow X(i+1),$$

upon embedding $O(i) \times O(1) \times O(d-i-1)$ in $O(i) \times O(d-i)$ and in $O(i+1) \times O(d-i-1)$, respectively. These maps fit into the diagram

$$\mathcal{D}(d) = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} & X^1(0) & & X^1(1) & & \cdots & & X^1(d-1) \\ & \swarrow f_0 & \searrow g_0 & \swarrow f_1 & \searrow g_1 & \swarrow f_2 & \searrow g_{d-2} & \swarrow f_{d-1} & \searrow g_{d-1} \\ X(0) & & X(1) & & X(2) & & & X(d-1) & & X(d) \end{array} \right)$$

and [7, Proposition 3.4] states that the homotopy colimit of the diagram $\mathcal{D}(d)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d)$

$$(13) \quad \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d) \simeq \text{hocolim } \mathcal{D}(d).$$

It is easy to see that there are homeomorphisms

$$G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) = \left(O(d+n) / O(n) \right) \times_{O(d)} J_{\text{gmf}}^3(\mathbf{R}^d),$$

$$\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) = \left(O(d+n) / O(n) \right) \times_{O(d)} \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d),$$

and (13) implies that $\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy colimit of the diagram

$$\mathcal{D}(d, n) = \left(O(d+n) / O(n) \right) \times_{O(d)} \mathcal{D}(d).$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$, the Stiefel manifold $O(n+d)/O(n)$ becomes contractible, and $\mathcal{D}(d, \infty)$ is the diagram

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & Y^1(0) & & Y^1(1) & & \cdots & & Y^1(d-1) \\ & \swarrow \bar{f}_0 & \searrow \bar{g}_0 & \swarrow f_1 & \searrow \bar{g}_1 & \swarrow \bar{f}_2 & \searrow \bar{g}_{d-2} & \swarrow \bar{f}_{d-1} & \searrow \bar{g}_{d-1} \\ Y(0) & & Y(1) & & Y(2) & & & Y(d-1) & & Y(d) \end{array}$$

with

$$Y^1(i) = BO(i) \times BO(1) \times BO(d-i-1),$$

$$Y(i) = BO(i) \times BO(d-i),$$

and \bar{f}_i and \bar{g}_i the obvious maps. So $\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)$ is the homotopy colimit of (14).

We want to compare this to the singular set $\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, \infty)$ which appears when one considers the moduli space of Morse functions rather than generalized Morse functions was calculated in [11, Lemma 3.1]:

$$\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, n) \cong \prod_{i=0}^d \left[\left(O(d+n) / O(n) \right) \times_{O(d)} \left(O(d) / O(i) \times O(d-i) \right) \right]$$

so that

$$\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, \infty) \cong \prod_{i=0}^d BO(i) \times BO(d-i) = \prod_{i=0}^d Y(i).$$

The cofiber of the map $\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, \infty) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)$ is by (14) equal to the homotopy colimit of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & Y^1(0) & & Y^1(1) & & \dots & & Y^1(d-1) \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & & \swarrow \quad \searrow & & \swarrow \quad \searrow & & \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ * & & * & & * & & * & & * \end{array}$$

But this homotopy colimit is easy calculated to be

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{d-1} S^1 \wedge Y^1(i)_+ \simeq \bigvee_{i=0}^{d-1} S^1 \wedge (BO(i) \times BO(d-i-1))_+.$$

We get a cofibration of suspension spectra:

$$\Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, \infty)_+) \longrightarrow \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+) \longrightarrow \bigvee_{i=0}^{d-1} \Sigma^\infty(S^1 \wedge (BO(i) \times BO(d-i-1))_+).$$

Taking the associated infinite loop spaces we get

Proposition 3.3. *There is a homotopy fibration:*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(BO(i) \times BO(d-i-1)_+) \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^d \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, \infty)_+) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+). \quad \square$$

The constant map $\mathcal{D}(d) \rightarrow *$ into the constant diagram induces the map

$$\mathcal{D}(d, n) \rightarrow \left(O(d+n) / O(n) \right) \times_{O(d)} *,$$

where the target space is homotopy equivalent to $G(d, n)$. For $n \rightarrow \infty$, this induces the fiber bundle

$$(15) \quad p : \Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty) \rightarrow BO(d)$$

with the fiber $\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d)$. We obtain the commutative diagram of cofibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^{-1}MT(d-1) & \longrightarrow & MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+) \\ \text{\scriptsize Id} \downarrow & & \text{\scriptsize F} \downarrow & & \text{\scriptsize $\Sigma^\infty p$} \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{-1}MT(d-1) & \longrightarrow & MT(d) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\infty(BO(d)_+) \end{array}$$

and a corresponding diagram of homotopy fibrations:

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1}MT(d-1) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+) \\ \text{\scriptsize Id} \downarrow & & \text{\scriptsize $\Omega^\infty F$} \downarrow & & \text{\scriptsize $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty p$} \downarrow \\ \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1}MT(d-1) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty MT(d) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(BO(d)_+) \end{array}$$

Since $\Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+)$ and $\Sigma^\infty(BO(d)_+)$ are (-1) -connected, we obtain that the forgetful map $F : MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) \rightarrow MT(d)$ induces isomorphism

$$\pi_{-i}MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) \cong \pi_{-i}MT(d), \quad i \geq 0.$$

We consider the forgetful map $\theta^{\text{gmf}} : G^{\text{gmf}}(d, \infty) \rightarrow G(d, \infty)$ as a *structure on d -dimensional bundles*. Then we denote by $\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\text{gmf}}$ the category $\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\theta^{\text{gmf}}}$ (see (5.3) and (5.4) of [4]) of manifolds (objects) and cobordisms (morphisms) equipped with a tangential θ^{gmf} -structure. Then the main theorem of [4] gives the following result:

Corollary 3.4. *There is weak homotopy equivalence*

$$B\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\text{gmf}} \cong \Omega^{\infty-1}MT^{\text{gmf}}(d),$$

and the forgetting map $B\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\text{gmf}} \rightarrow B\mathcal{Cob}_d$ induces isomorphism

$$\Omega_d^{\text{gmf}} = \pi_0 B\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\text{gmf}} \simeq \pi_0 B\mathcal{Cob}_d = \Omega_d,$$

where Ω_d^{gmf} and Ω_d are corresponding cobordism groups.

3.3. Remarks on the moduli space of Morse functions. The paper [11] studied the moduli space of fiberwise Morse functions. The fibers are the space of functions which locally has 2-jets of the form $f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $f = f(0) + \ell(x) + q(x)$ subject to the conditions:

- (i) $f(0) \neq 0$ or
- (ii) $f(0) = 0$ and $\ell(x) \neq 0$ or
- (iii) $f(0) = 0$, $\ell(x) = 0$ and $q(x)$ is non-singular quadratic form.

The associated sheaf $\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{mf}}(X)$, denoted by $\mathcal{W}(X)$ in [11], consists of maps

$$(\pi, f, j) : E \longrightarrow X \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1+\infty} \quad \text{with}$$

- (a) (π, f) is proper map,
- (b) (f, j) is an embedding,
- (c) $\pi : E \longrightarrow X$ is a submersion of relative dimension d ,
- (d) for $x \in X$, $f_x : E_x \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is “Morse”, i.e. its 2-jet satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii).

The space $|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{mf}}| = |\mathcal{W}|$ was determined up to homotopy in Theorems 1.2 and 3.5 of [11]. We recall the results. Let $G^{\text{mf}}(d, n)$ be the space of pairs

$$(V, f) \in G(d, n) \times J^2(V)$$

with f satisfying the above conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) and $f(0) = 0$. Let $\hat{U}_{d,n}^\perp$ be the canonical n -dimensional bundle over $G^{\text{mf}}(d, n)$ and $MT^{\text{mf}}(d)$ be the spectrum with

$$MT^{\text{mf}}(d)_{d+n} = \text{Th}(\hat{U}_{d,n}^\perp) .$$

Theorem 3.5. ([MW]) *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{mf}}| \cong \Omega^\infty MT^{\text{mf}}(d) := \text{colim}_n \Omega^{d+n} \text{Th}(\hat{U}_{d,n}^\perp) .$$

Analogous to (11), there is the cofibration of spectra

$$(17) \quad \Sigma^{-1} MT(d-1) \longrightarrow MT^{\text{mf}}(d) \longrightarrow \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, \infty)_+)$$

The inclusion $\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{mf}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_d^{\text{gmf}}(X)$ induces a map

$$\Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{mf}}| \longrightarrow \Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{gmf}}|$$

of moduli spaces which can be examined upon comparing the (11) and (17). We have the homotopy commutative diagram of homotopy fibrations

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1} MT(d-1) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty MT^{\text{mf}}(d) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{mf}}(d, n)_+) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1} MT(d-1) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty MT^{\text{gmf}}(d) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)_+) \end{array}$$

The middle vertical row can be identified with the map

$$\Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{mf}}| \longrightarrow \Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{gmf}}|$$

and the right-hand vertical row corresponds to the right-hand arrow of Proposition 3.3. This gives

Corollary 3.6. *There is a homotopy fibration*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1}(BO(i) \times BO(d-i-1))_+ \longrightarrow \Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{mf}}| \longrightarrow \Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^{\text{gmf}}|. \quad \square$$

3.4. Generalization to tangential structures. Let $\theta : B \rightarrow BO(d)$ be a Serre fibration thought as a *structure on d -dimensional vector bundles*: If $f : X \rightarrow BO(d)$ is a map classifying a vector bundle over X , then a map $\ell : X \rightarrow B$ such that $f = \theta \circ \ell$. For a given n , we define the space $G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ as the pull-back:

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow \theta_{d,n} & & \downarrow \theta \\ G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) & \xrightarrow{i_n} & BO(d) \end{array}$$

where i_n is the composition of the forgetful map $G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \rightarrow G(d, n)$ and the canonical embedding $i_n^o : G(d, n) \hookrightarrow G(d, \infty) = BO(d)$. To define a corresponding sheaf \mathcal{J}_d^θ of generalized Morse function on manifolds with tangential structure θ , we use Definition 2.1 but adding the requirement that the manifold E and corresponding fibers $E_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ are equipped with the compatible tangential structures. Similarly the sheaf $h\mathcal{J}_d^\theta$ is well-defined and there is the corresponding map $j_\pi^3(\theta) : |\mathcal{J}_d^\theta| \longrightarrow |h\mathcal{J}_d^\theta|$. The following result is a generalization of Theorem 2.2 providing the h -principle:

Theorem 3.7. *The map*

$$j_\pi^3(\theta) : |\mathcal{J}_d^\theta| \longrightarrow |h\mathcal{J}_d^\theta|$$

is weak homotopy equivalence. \square

To describe the homotopy type of the moduli space $\Omega|\mathcal{J}_d^\theta|$, we consider the bundle diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{d,n}^{\theta, \perp} & \longrightarrow & V_{d,n}^\perp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) & \xrightarrow{\theta_{d,n}} & G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \end{array}$$

where $V_{d,n}^{\theta, \perp}$ is a pull-back of $V_{d,n}^\perp$. Similarly, let $V_{d,n}^\theta \rightarrow G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ be the pull-back of the bundle $V_{d,n} \rightarrow G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$. The Thom space of the bundle $V_{d,n}^{\theta, \perp}$ gives rise to the spectrum $MT^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d)$ which in degrees $(d+n)$ is

$$(20) \quad MT^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d)_{d+n} = \text{Th}(V_{d,n}^{\theta, \perp}).$$

We have the following version of Theorem 3.1:

Theorem 3.8. *There is weak homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega|h\mathcal{J}_d^\theta| \cong \Omega^\infty MT^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d). \quad \square$$

We next examine the homotopy type of $\Omega^\infty MT^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d)$ in terms of the corresponding singular sets $\Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) \subset G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$. Define the θ -Grassmannian $G^\theta(d, n)$ as the pull-back:

$$(21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G^\theta(d, n) & \longrightarrow & B \\ \theta_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ G(d, n) & \xrightarrow{i_n^\circ} & BO(d) \end{array}$$

where $i_n^\circ : G(d, n) \hookrightarrow BO(n)$ is the canonical embedding,

$$G^\theta(d, n) = \{ (V, b) \mid i_n^\circ(V) = \theta(b) \} \subset G(d, n) \times BO(d).$$

Then it is easy to identify $G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ with the subspace

$$G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) = \{ (V, b, f) \mid (V, b) \in G^\theta(d, n), f \in J_{\text{gmf}}^3(V) \}.$$

Let $\Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ be the singular set of triples (V, b, f) , where $f : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ has vanishing linear term. The non-singular subspace $G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ is the set of triples (V, b, f) with $f = \ell + q + r$, where the linear part $\ell \neq 0$. By analogy with the space $\hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n)$ from Lemma 3.2, we define

$$\hat{G}^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) = \{ (V, b, f) \mid f = \ell + q + r, |\ell| = 1, q = 0, r = 0 \}$$

and notice that the space $G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ retracts to $\hat{G}^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$. By construction, the space $\hat{G}^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ is the pull-back in the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G}^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) & \longrightarrow & \hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g_n \\ G^\theta(d, n) & \xrightarrow{\theta_n} & G(d, n) \end{array}$$

where $\theta_n : G^\theta(d, n) \rightarrow G(d, n)$ is from (21) and g_n is a composition of the forgetting map and the inclusion:

$$g_n : \hat{G}^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \hookrightarrow G^{\text{gmf}}(d, n) \rightarrow G(d, n)$$

Similarly to the above case, the normal bundle of the inclusion $\Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) \hookrightarrow G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ coincides with $(V_{d, n}^\theta)^* \cong V_{d, n}^\theta$ restricted to $\Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$, and again the inclusion

$$(D(V_{d, n}^\theta), S(V_{d, n}^\theta)) \longrightarrow (G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n), G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n))$$

is an excision map. Let $j_\theta : G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) \setminus \Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n) \rightarrow G^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)$ be the inclusion. This leads to the cofibration

$$(22) \quad \text{Th}(j_\theta^* V_{d, n}^{\theta, \perp}) \rightarrow \text{Th}(V_{d, n}^{\theta, \perp}) \rightarrow \text{Th}((V_{d, n}^{\theta, \perp} \oplus V_{d, n}^\theta)_{\Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, n)})$$

and to the cofibration of spectra

$$\Sigma^{-1} MT^\theta(d-1) \rightarrow MT^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d) \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+)$$

with corresponding homotopy fibration of infinite loop spaces:

$$\Omega^\infty \Sigma^{-1} MT^\theta(d-1) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty MT^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty(\Sigma^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d, \infty)_+).$$

We denote by \mathcal{Cob}^θ the corresponding cobordism category of manifolds equipped with tangential structure θ and by $\mathcal{Cob}^{\theta, \text{gmf}}$ the category with the condition that each morphism be equipped with a generalized Morse function as above.

Corollary 3.9. *There is weak homotopy equivalence*

$$B\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\theta, \text{gmf}} \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1} MT^{\theta, \text{gmf}}(d),$$

and the forgetful map $B\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\theta, \text{gmf}} \rightarrow B\mathcal{Cob}_d^\theta$ induces isomorphism

$$\Omega_d^{\theta, \text{gmf}} = \pi_0 B\mathcal{Cob}_d^{\theta, \text{gmf}} \cong \pi_0 B\mathcal{Cob}_d^\theta = \Omega_d^\theta,$$

where $\Omega_d^{\theta, \text{gmf}}$ and Ω_d^θ are corresponding cobordism groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Cohen, A decomposition of the space of generalized Morse functions. Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory (Princeton, N.J., 1983), 365–391, Ann. of Math. Stud., 113, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [2] Y. Eliashberg, N. Mishachev, Wrinkling of smooth mappings and its applications. I, Invent. Math. 130, 1997, no. 2, 345–369.
- [3] Y. Eliashberg, N. Mishachev, Wrinkling of smooth mappings. II. Wrinkling of embeddings and K. Igusa’s theorem, Topology, 39, 2000, no. 4, 711–732.
- [4] S. Galatius, Ib Madsen, U. Tillman, M. Weiss, The cobordism type of the cobordism category, Acta Math., 202 (2009), 195–239.
- [5] M. Gromov, Partial differential relations. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 9. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. x+363 pp.
- [6] K. Igusa, Higher singularities of smooth functions are unnecessary. Ann. of Math. (2) 119 (1984), no. 1, 1–58.
- [7] K. Igusa, On the homotopy type of the space of generalized Morse functions. Topology 23 (1984), no. 2, 245–256.
- [8] K. Igusa, The stability theorem for smooth pseudoisotopies, K-Theory 2 (1988), no. 1–2, vi+355.
- [9] K. Igusa, C^1 local parametrized Morse theory, Topology Appl. 36 (1990), no. 3, 209–231.
- [10] K. Igusa, Higher Franz-Reidemeister Torsion, AMS/IP Studies in Advance Mathematics, vol. 31, International Press, 2002.
- [11] Ib Madsen, M. Weiss, The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture. Ann. of Math. (2) 165 (2007), no. 3, 843–941.
- [12] A. Phillips, Submersions of open manifolds, Topology 6 (1967) 170–206
- [13] V. A. Vassiliev, Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 98. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. vi+208 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR, 97403 USA

E-mail address: `botvinn@math.uoregon.edu`

INSTITUTE FOR THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, DK-2100 COPENHAGEN,
DENMARK

E-mail address: `imadsen@math.ku.dk`